

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/055,770	01/23/2002	Shigeo Fujimori	1023-02	8726	
35811 IB GROUP OF	7590 06/14/2007 DLA PIPER US LLP		EXAMINER		
ONE LIBERT	Y PLACE	•	LIN, JAMES		
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1762		
			V411 D475	DEL WERV MODE	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			. 06/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/055,770		FUJIMORI ET AL		
Ì	Examiner	Art Unit		
Jimmy Lin		1762		

,	Jimmy Lin	1762						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			ille issues loi					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	:	·						
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Appeal will be	t he entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.					
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attached sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							

Art Unit: 1762

Box 11:

The Applicant argues that the overall disclosure of JP '474 fully supports the limitation of "using alignment marks formed on said integrated mask and said single substrate" in claim 11. However, the present specification does not provide a special definition for "alignment marks", so the term is given its ordinary meaning. Thus, the limitation in claim 11 encompasses "using alignment marks formed on [the] integrated mask" to align the mask to a gantry assembly (see U.S. Patent No. 4,676,193 to Martin). The alignment marks 60 of Martin is used to align the mask assembly in Fig. 21 to the gantry assembly in Fig. 22 to form a combined assembly of Fig. 23 (col. 18, line 54-col. 19, line 34). The gantry assembly holds the substrate 150. JP '474 does not have a disclosure for "using" the alignment marks on the mask for such an alignment method. Because claim 11 requires a limitation broader than the disclosure of JP '474, the claims do not receive the benefit of the earlier foreign priority date. Thus, Martin is still considered prior art.

The Applicant argues that it is impossible for the rejection to define "n" in a way that is different from the definition specifically recited in the Applicant's claim 11. However, "n" is merely the number of organic EL devices on a single substrate, as defined in claim 11. Clark '690 teaches that the mask assembly has 4 deposition masks (i.e., m = 4) and that each mask has a plurality of deposition apertures (i.e., k is greater than 2), thereby satisfying the equation of $n = m \times k$. The organic EL devices comprise a an EL layer formed between a lower and an upper electrode.

The Examiner notes that the Comparison Chart does not provide a comparison for the disclosure of JP '494 with present claim 12.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jimmy Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-8902. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JL JL

> KETHHENDRICKS PRIMARY EXAMINER